

Service of Process Transmittal

07/21/2021

CT Log Number 539937950

TO: Erik Podbutzky

Transworld Systems Inc. 500 Virginia Dr Ste 514 Fort Washington, PA 19034-2707

RE: Process Served in North Carolina

FOR: Convergent Outsourcing, Inc. (Domestic State: WA)

ENCLOSED ARE COPIES OF LEGAL PROCESS RECEIVED BY THE STATUTORY AGENT OF THE ABOVE COMPANY AS FOLLOWS:

TITLE OF ACTION: HEATHER CHRISTIAN, on behalf of Herself and Others similarly Situated,

Pltf. vs. CONVERGENT OUTSOURCING, INC., etc., Dft.

DOCUMENT(S) SERVED: --

COURT/AGENCY: None Specified Case # 21CVS202

ON WHOM PROCESS WAS SERVED: CT Corporation System, Raleigh, NC

DATE AND HOUR OF SERVICE: By Certified Mail on 07/21/2021 postmarked on 07/19/2021

JURISDICTION SERVED: North Carolina

APPEARANCE OR ANSWER DUE: None Specified

ATTORNEY(S) / SENDER(S): None Specified

ACTION ITEMS: CT has retained the current log, Retain Date: 07/21/2021, Expected Purge Date:

07/26/2021

Image SOP

Email Notification, Erik Podbutzky lawsuits@tsico.com
Email Notification, Richard Drezek legal.review@tsico.com
Email Notification, James Schultz jschultz@sessions-law.biz
Email Notification, Lori Schmitt lschmitt@sessions.legal
Email Notification, Diana Orellana dorellana@sessions.legal
Email Notification, Donielle Lambert dlambert@sessions.legal

REGISTERED AGENT ADDRESS: CT Corporation System

160 Mine Lake CT

Suite 200

Raleigh, NC 27615 866-401-8252

EastTeam2@wolterskluwer.com



Service of Process Transmittal

07/21/2021

CT Log Number 539937950

TO:

Erik Podbutzky Transworld Systems Inc. 500 Virginia Dr Ste 514 Fort Washington, PA 19034-2707

RE: **Process Served in North Carolina**

FOR: Convergent Outsourcing, Inc. (Domestic State: WA)

The information contained in this Transmittal is provided by CT for quick reference only. It does not constitute a legal opinion, and should not otherwise be relied on, as to the nature of action, the amount of damages, the answer date, or any other information contained in the included documents. The recipient(s) of this form is responsible for reviewing and interpreting the included documents and taking appropriate action, including consulting with its legal and other advisors as necessary. CT disclaims all liability for the information contained in this form, including for any omissions or inaccuracies that may be contained

CERTIFIED MAIL



7020 1290 0002 0123 9460







COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS GROSSMAN PLLC

P.O. Box 12638 Raleigh, North Carolina 27605

> Convergent Outsourcing, Inc. c/o CT Corporation, Registered Agent 160 Mile Lake Ct., Ste. 200 Raleigh, NC 27615

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA		File No.	(VS 208	
AVERY County	FILED	In The	General Court Of J	
	JUL 12 P 2: 1	051	IERAL	-
Name And Address Of Plaintiff 2		_	SUBSEQUENT FILI	
	Rule	5(b) of the General Rules	of Practice for the Superior	and District Courts
VERSUS		ress Of Attorney Or Party, li itial appearance or change		
Name And Address Of Defendant 1 CONVERGENT OUTSOURCING, INC. Registered Agent: CT Corporation System	SCOTT C. MILBERG	HARRIS	ON PHILLIPS GROS	SSMAN, PLLC
160 Mine Lake Ct., Ste 200 Raleigh NC 27	7615 RALEIGH		NC	27603
Summons Submitted	lelephone No.	196005003	Cellular Telephone No. 919600:	5003
⊠ Yes	NC Attorney Ba			
Name And Address Of Defendant 2	35328		MILBERG.COM	
	[X] II	nitial Appearance in C	Case Change of	Address
	Name Of Firm		Fax No	
	l l	leman Bryson Phillip) to the second	196005035
Summons Submitted	Counsel For	ALEE ALL DIEGO		
☐ Yes ☐ No	All Plair	tiffs All Defenda	ants Uniy: (list pai	rty(ies) represented)
☑ Jury Demanded In Pleading	Complex Litigation	n Stipulate to	Arbitration	
1	YPE OF PLEADING		·	
(check all that apply) Amend (AMND) Amended Answer/Reply (AMND-Response) Amended Complaint (AMND) Assess Costs (COST) Answer/Reply (ANSW-Response) (see Note) Change Venue (CHVN) Complaint (COMP) Confession Of Judgment (CNFJ) Consent Order (CONS) Consolidate (CNSL) Contempt (CNTP) Continue (CNTN) Compel (CMPL) Counterclaim (CTCL) Assess Court Costs Dismiss (DISM) Assess Court Costs Exempt/Waive Mediation (EXMD) Extend Statute Of Limitations, Rule 9 (ESOL) Extend Time For Complaint (EXCO)	Impleme Imprope Imprope Including Interven Interven Lack Of Lack Of Modifica Notice Of Petition Rule 12 Sanctior Set Asid Show Of Transfer Third Pa Vacate/N Withdray	Venue/Division (IMVN) Attorney's Fees (ATTY (INTR) (INTR) (IOTHR) Jurisdiction (Person) (L. Jurisdiction (Subject Mation Of Child Support In If Dismissal With Or With To Sue As Indigent (OTH Motion In Lieu Of Answers (SANC) (IOTHR) (INTRICULATION) (INTRI	olding In Non-IV-D Case JPN) atter) (LJSM) IV-D Actions (MSUP) hout Prejudice (VOLD) HR) er (MDLA) Party Defendants on back	
Complaint (COMP) Confession Of Judgment (CNFJ) Consent Order (CONS) Consolidate (CNSL) Contempt (CNTP) Continue (CNTN) Compel (CMPL) Counterclaim (CTCL) Assess Court Costs Crossclaim (list on back) (CRSS) Assess Court Costs Dismiss (DISM) Assess Court Costs Exempt/Waive Mediation (EXMD) Extend Statute Of Limitations, Rule 9 (ESOL) Extend Time For Complaint (EXCO)	Lack Of Lack Of Modifica Notice O Petition Rule 12 Sanctior Set Asid Show C Transfer Third Pa Vacate/I	Jurisdiction (Person) (L. Jurisdiction (Subject Ma tion Of Child Support In If Dismissal With Or Wit To Sue As Indigent (OTI Motion In Lieu Of Answer Is (SANC) In (OTHR) Is (SHOW) IT (TRER) It (TRER) It (WORL) IT (WO	atter) (LJSM) IV-D Actions (MSUP) hout Prejudice (VOLD) HR) er (MDLA) Party Defendants on back	k) (TP

NOTE: All filings in civil actions shall include as the first page of the filing a cover sheet summarizing the critical elements of the filing in a format prescribed by the Administrative Office of the Courts, and the Clerk of Superior Court shall require a party to refile a filing which does not include the required cover sheet. For subsequent filings in civil actions, the filing party must include either a General Civil (AOC-CV-751), Motion (AOC-CV-752), or Court Action (AOC-CV-753) cover sheet.

	。	CLAIMS FOR RELIEF	_]
□ Ac	Iministrative Appeal (ADMA)	Limited Driving Privilege - Out-Of-State Product Liability (PROD)	
	ppointment Of Receiver (APRC)	Convictions (PLDP) Real Property (RLPR)	
☐ At	tachment/Garnishment (ATTC)	☐ Medical Malpractice (MDML) ☐ Specific Performance (SPPR)	
	aim And Delivery (CLMD)	☐ Minor Settlement (MSTL) ☐ Other (specify and list each separately)	
	ollection On Account (ACCT)	Money Owed (MNYO)	
	ondemnation (CNDM)	Negligence - Motor Vehicle (MVNG)	
_	ontract (CNTR)	Negligence - Other (NEGO)	
	scovery Scheduling Order (DSCH)	Motor Vehicle Lien G.S. Chapter 44A (MVLN)	
	junction (INJU)	Possession Of Personal Property (POPP)	
Date	7/1/200	Signature Of Attorney Dearty	
CEEC	S IN G/S. 7A-308 APPLY	1 / WHI CAL	—
Asse	rt Right Of Access (ARAS)	/	
Subs	titution Of Trustee (Judicial Foreclosur	re) (RSOT)	
	elemental Procedures (SUPR)		
	HAC VICE FEES APPLY on For Out-Of-State Attorney To Appea	ar In NC Courts In A Civil Or Criminal Matter (Out-Of-State Attorney/Pro Hac Vice Fee)	
No.	Additional Plaintiff(s)		
	- Additional Flamings)		
-			
	<u> </u>		
		•	
ļ 			
No.	Additional Defendant(s)	☐ Third Party Defendant(s) Summon Submitte	
No.	Additional Defendant(s)	Submitte	
No.	Additional Defendant(s)	Third Party Defendant(s) Submitte	ed ——
No.	Additional Defendant(s)		ed No
No.	Additional Defendant(s)		No No
No.	Additional Defendant(s)		No No No No
	Additional Defendant(s)		No No No No
			No No No No
			No No No No
			No No No No
Plaint			No No No No
Plaint	iff(s) Against Whom Counterclaim Asserted		No No No No
Plaint	iff(s) Against Whom Counterclaim Asserted		No No No No
Plaint	iff(s) Against Whom Counterclaim Asserted		No No No No

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA	File No. OL CVS DOY
AVERY County	In The General Court Of Justice ☐ District ☒ Superior Court Division
Name Of Plaintiff HEATHER CHRISTIAN	
Address	CIVIL SUMMONS
City, State, Zip	☐ ALIAS AND PLURIES SUMMONS (ASSESS FEE)
VERSUS	G.S. 1A-1, Rules 3 and 4
Name Of Defendant(s) CONVERGENT OUTSOURCING, INC.	Date Original Summons Issued
·	Date(s) Subsequent Summons(es) Issued
To Each Of The Defendant(s) Named Below:	
Name And Address Of Defendant 1 CONVERGENT OUTSOURCING, INC.	Name And Address Of Defendant 2
Registered Agent: CT Corporation System	
160 Mine Lake Ct., Ste. 200	·
Raleigh NC 27615	
acerca de su caso y, de ser necesario, hablar documentos! A Civil Action Has Been Commenced Against You! You are notified to appear and answer the complaint of the plaintiff a	laintiff or plaintiff's attorney within thirty (30) days after you have been plaintiff or by mailing it to the plaintiff's last known address, and Court of the county named above.
Name And Address Of Plaintiff's Attorney (if none, Address Of Plaintiff) SCOTT C. HARRIS	Date brued A A Dem
MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, PLLC 900 W. MORGAN STREET	Signature
RALEIGH NC 27603	Deputy CSC Assistant CSC Clerk Of Superior Court
	·
TENDORSEMENT (ASSESS EEE)	Date Of Endorsement Time AM PM
ENDORSEMENT (ASSESS FEE) This Summons was originally issued on the date indicated	Signature
above and returned not served. At the request of the plaintiff, the time within which this Summons must be served is extended sixty (60) days.	Deputy CSC Assistant CSC Clerk Of Superior Court
	programs in which most cases where the amount in controversy is \$25,000 or arties will be notified if this case is assigned for mandatory arbitration, and, if
(0	Over)

AOC-CV-100, Rev. 4/18 © 2018 Administrative Office of the Courts

		RETURN OF	SERVICE	
I certify that this Summons	and a copy of the comp	plaint were received	and served as fo	follows:
		DEFEND	ANT 1	
Date Served	Time Served	AM PM	Name Of Defendant	
By delivering to the de	fendant named above a	copy of the summo	ns and complain	nt.
	ne summons and compla and discretion then resi		ouse or usual pl	lace of abode of the defendant named above with a
As the defendant is a below.	corporation, service was	effected by deliveri	ng a copy of the	e summons and complaint to the person named
Name And Address Of Per	son With Whom Copies Left (if	corporation, give title of p	erson copies left with	h)
		·		
Other manner of servi	ce (specify)			•
☐ Defendant WAS NOT	served for the following	reason:		
Defendant WAS NOT	served for the following	reason.		
	1	DEFEN	DANT 2	
Date Served	1 Time Served		Name Of Defendan	nt
		AMPM		
	efendant named above I the summons and comp		•	aint. place of abode of the defendant named above with
person of suitable ag	e and discretion then re	siding therein.		
below.				ne summons and complaint to the person named
Name And Address Of Pe	erson With Whom Copies Left (if corporation, give title of	person copies left w	vith)
	\			
Other manner of ser	vice (specify)			
	1			
☐ Defendant WAS NO	T served for the followin	g reason:		
			10	
Service Fee Paid			Signature Of Dept	uty Sheriff Making Return
Date Received			. Name Of Sheriff ((type or print)
Date Of Return			County Of Sheriff	
ACC-CV-100 Side Two				

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA	IN THE GENERAL COURT OF JUSTICE
	SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION
COUNTY OF AVERY	SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE SUPERIOR COURT DIVISION 2021 JUL 12 PCASEINO. 21 US 20
HEATHER CHRISTIAN, on Behalf o Herself and Others Similarly Situated,	f $C \subseteq C$
Herself and Others Similarly Situated,	
	Y
Plaintiff,)
)
v.) COMPLAINT
)
CONVERGENT OUTSOURCING, IN	VC.,) (Class Action)
a Washington corporation,)
- a .)
Defendant.)

Plaintiff Heather Christian ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, through counsel, files this Class Action Complaint against Convergent Outsourcing, Inc. (hereinafter, "Convergent" or "Defendant") and states as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Action to recover damages for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA") and North Carolina Debt Collection Act, N.C.G.S. § 75-50, et seq. ("NCDCA").
- 2. Defendant unreasonably disclosed, communicated and/or publicized information regarding Plaintiff's debt and all others similarly situated to another person.
- 3. This class action is filed pursuant to Rule 23 of the Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of all consumers about which Defendant unlawfully

communicated consumer debt to a third party, in violation of North Carolina and Federal law as further set forth herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. The foregoing allegations are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and this action pursuant to N.C.G.S. § 42-44, N.C.G.S. § 25-1-305, N.C.G.S. §§ 75-16 and 56, N.C.G.S. § 1-75.4 and N.C.G.S. § 1-253.
- 6. Venue is proper under N.C.G.S. § 1-80 in that Plaintiff resides in Avery County and Defendant has regularly engaged in business in Avery County, North Carolina.

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff Christian is a citizen and resident of Avery County, North Carolina, a "consumer" as defined in 15 U.S.C. 1692a(3) and N.C.G.S. § 75-50(1), and allegedly owes a "debt" as defined in 15 U.S.C. 1692a(5) and N.C.G.S. § 75-50(2) to Defendant.
- 8. Defendant Convergent is a Washington corporation, existing under the laws of the State of Washington, with a principal office and place of business at 800 SW 39th Street, Suite 100, Renton, Washington 98057, duly domesticated in the State of North Carolina by filing with the North Carolina Secretary of State's Office on September 22, 1999. Defendant transacts

business in this state and throughout the country. Defendant can be served through its Registered Agent, CT Corporation System, at 160 Mine Lake Court, Suite 200, Raleigh, North Carolina 27615.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and N.C.G.S. § 75-50(3).
- 10. Defendant is regularly engaged in the business of collecting debt allegedly owed by consumers to others for profit in the State of North Carolina. Its employees, affiliates, directors, agents, vendors, and attorneys act under the direction and supervision of Defendant within the scope of their actual or apparent authority. Therefore, Defendant is responsible and/or vicariously liable for the actions of its employees, affiliates, directors, agents, vendors and attorneys under, *inter alia*, the theory of *Respondeat Superior*. All references to Defendant mean Defendant, its owners, officers, agents, and/or employees.
 - 11. The principal purpose of Defendant's business is debt collection.
- 12. Defendant uses instrumentalities of intrastate and interstate commerce, including telephone and mail in furtherance of its debt collection business.
 - 13. Defendant alleges Plaintiff owes a debt ("Debt").
- 14. The Debt is an alleged obligation of Plaintiff to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance, or services which

are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.

- 15. The Debt does not arise from any business enterprise of Plaintiff.
- 16. The Debt is a "debt" as that term is defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5) and N.C.G.S. § 75-50(2).
- 17. At exact time known only to Defendant, the Debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection.
- 18. Upon information and belief, at time the Debt was assigned or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection, the Debt was in default.
- 19. In effort to collect the Debt, Defendant contacted Plaintiff by written correspondence.
- 20. Rather than preparing and mailing such written correspondence to Plaintiff on its own, Defendant used a third-party vendor to perform such activities on its behalf.
- 21. As part of utilization, Defendant conveyed information regarding the Debt to the third-party vendor.
- 22. Defendant's conveyance of information regarding the Debt to a third-party vendor is a communication as that term is defined in 15 U.S.C. 1692a(2).
- 23. Defendant's conveyance of information regarding the Debt to a third-party vendor is an unreasonable publication as described in N.C.G.S. §

75-53.

- 24. The third-party vendor then populated some or all this information into a prewritten template, printed, and mailed the letter to Plaintiff at Defendant's direction.
- 25. Plaintiff received and read two letters, both dated November 21, 2020, from Convergent concerning two Debts owed by Plaintiff in the amount of \$943.60 and 978.14. The letters received by Plaintiff are referred to hereafter as the "Letters."
- 26. The November 21, 2020 Letters were the initial written communications Plaintiff received from Defendant concerning the Debts.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 27. The forgoing allegations are hereby reincorporated by reference as if fully restated herein.
- 28. Pursuant to North Carolina Rule of Civil Procedure 23, Plaintiff brings this action individually and on behalf of the following classes which are tentatively defined as:
 - NC Class: All consumers throughout the State of North Carolina where Defendant sent information concerning consumers' Debt to a third party without written permission of the consumer, which disclosure was made on or after a date four (4) years prior and to the filing of this Complaint.
 - FDCPA Subclass: All consumers in North Carolina where Defendant sent information concerning

consumers' Debt to a third party without prior consent of the consumer, which disclosure was made on or after one (1) year prior to the filing of this Complaint.

- 29. Excluded from the classes are: (a) any Judge or Magistrate presiding over this action and members of their families; (b) Defendant and any entity in which Defendant has a controlling interest and its legal representatives, assigns and successors; and (c) all persons and entities who properly execute and file a timely request for exclusion from the classes.
- 30. Numerosity: Plaintiff is unable to provide a specific number of members in each of the classes because that information is solely in the possession of Defendant. However, the exact number of class members, including the names and addresses of all class members, will be easily ascertained through a review of Defendant's business records. Upon information and belief, each class contains at least hundreds of consumers and likely exceeds several thousand consumers and is therefore so numerous that joinder of all members would be impracticable.
- 31. Commonality: Common questions of law and fact predominate over any individual issues that may be presented, because Defendant's conveyance of information to a third party in a single action or series of actions constituted thousands of unlawful disclosures at substantially the same time. Common questions include, but are not limited to:

- a. Whether Defendant's transmission of information concerning Plaintiff and all others similarly situated constitutes a violation of the FDCPA.
- b. Whether Defendant communicated with any person other than the consumer, her attorney, consumer reporting agency, the creditor, or the attorney of the creditor, in connection with the collection of any debt in violation of the FDCPA.
- c. Whether Defendant unreasonably publicized information regarding a consumer's debt in violation of the NCDCA.
- d. Whether Defendant communicated with any person other than the debtor or her attorney in violation of the NCDCA.
- 32. Typicality: The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the proposed classes and all are based on the same facts and legal theories, as all such claims arise out of Defendant's conduct.
- 33. Adequate Representation: Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the classes in that she does not have antagonistic or conflicting claims with other members of the classes. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in the prosecution of complex class actions, specifically including experience with consumer class actions.
 - 34. Neither Plaintiff nor counsel have any interests that might cause

them not to vigorously pursue this action. Plaintiff is aware of her responsibilities to the putative class and has accepted such responsibilities.

35. Predominance and Superiority: The classes are appropriate for certification because questions of law and fact common to the members of the classes predominate over questions affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since individual joinder of all members of the classes is impracticable. Should individual class members be required to bring separate actions, this Court or courts in other jurisdictions would be confronted with a multiplicity of lawsuits burdening the court system while also creating the risk of inconsistent rulings and contradictory judgments. In contrast to proceeding on a case-by-case basis, in which inconsistent results will magnify the delay and expense to all parties and the court system, this class action presents far fewer management difficulties while providing unitary adjudication, economies of scale and comprehensive supervision by a single court.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Violations of Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. (On behalf of the FDCPA Sub-Class)

36. The forgoing allegations are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

- 37. Defendant acts as a "debt collector," as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
 - 38. Defendant acted as a "debt collector" in contacting Plaintiff.
- 39. Plaintiff and all members of the classes are "consumers," as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) since they are natural persons allegedly obligated to pay a consumer debt.
- 40. At all material times, Plaintiff's debt and the debts of the class members were "debt," as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 41. Defendant has collected debt in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b), in that it disclosed information to a third party without prior consent of the consumer.
- 42. FDCPA section 1692c(b) states in pertinent part that "without the prior consent of the consumer given directly to the debt collector, or the express permission of a court of competent jurisdiction, or as reasonably necessary to effectuate a post judgment judicial remedy, a debt collector may not communicate, in connection with the collection of any debt, with any person other than the consumer, his attorney, a consumer reporting agency if otherwise permitted by law, the creditor, the attorney of the creditor, or the attorney of the debt collector."
- 43. The third party does not fall within any exceptions provided for in 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b).

- 44. The United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit has recently ruled that a Defendant's transmittal of a Plaintiff's personal debt-related information to a third-party letter preparation vendor constitutes a communication "in connection with the collection of any debt" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b) and such action, without the debtor's authorization, constitutes a violation of said statute. See, Hunstein v. Preferred Collection & Mgmt. Servs., Inc., No. 19-14434, 2021 WL 1556069, (11th Cir. Apr. 21, 2021).
- Management Services, Inc.") electronically transmitted data regarding a consumer's ("Mr. Hunstein") debt, namely, his status as a debtor, the balance of the debt, the entity to which he owed the debt and the subject of such debt to a third-party vendor, for the purpose of creating, printing and mailing a "dunning" letter to the debtor.
- 46. The core facts presented to the Appeals Court in the <u>Hunstein</u> case are virtually identical to those alleged in this Complaint.
- 47. Here, Plaintiff did not consent to Defendant's communication to the third party concerning the Debts.
- 48. Plaintiff did not consent to Defendant's communication to the third party concerning Plaintiff's personal and/or confidential information.
 - 49. Plaintiff did not consent to Defendant's communication with

anyone concerning the Debt or Plaintiff's personal and/or confidential information.

- 50. Upon belief, Defendant has used a third party for these purposes thousands of times.
- 51. Defendant uses a third party for the sole purpose of maximizing profits.
- 52. Defendant uses a third party without regard to the propriety and privacy of the information it discloses to such third party.
- 53. Defendant uses a third party with reckless disregard for the harm to Plaintiff and the classes that could result from Defendant's unauthorized disclosure of private and sensitive information.
- 54. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f provides a debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect a debt.
- 55. The unauthorized disclosure of a consumer's private and sensitive information is both unfair and unconscionable.
- 56. Defendant disclosed Plaintiff's private and sensitive information to a third party in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692f.
- 57. As a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, Plaintiff and the class members are entitled to actual and statutory damages, reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of North Carolina Debt Collection Act, N.C.G.S. § 75-50, et seq. (On behalf of the NC Class)

- 58. The foregoing allegations are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 59. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by the NCDCA, N.C.G.S. § 75-50.
- 60. Plaintiff and the North Carolina Class are "consumers" as that term is defined by N.C.G.S. § 75-50.
- 61. N.C.G.S. § 75-53 prohibits debt collectors from unreasonably publicizing information regarding a consumer's debt including, but not limited to, any communication with any person other than the debtor or her attorney.
- 62. Defendant violated N.C.G.S. § 75-53 by communicating consumer debt information to a third party without the written permission of the debtor.
- 63. Plaintiff, and others similarly situated, are entitled to recover statutory damages under the NCDCA for each instance in which an improper communication occurred.
- 64. As a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct, Plaintiff and the class members are entitled to actual and statutory damages, reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the North Carolina Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices Act: N.C.G.S. § 75-1.1 (on behalf of the NC Class)

- 65. The foregoing allegations are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 66. Pursuant to N.C.G.S. § 75-56(a), "the specific and general provisions of [the NCDCA] shall exclusively constitute the unfair or deceptive acts or practices proscribed by G.S. 75-1.1 in the area of commerce regulated by this Article."
- 67. Defendant's operation with consumers and consumer debt constitutes commerce.
- 68. N.C.G.S. § 75-1.1 (the "UDTPA") prohibits "unfair methods of competition in or affecting commerce, and unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce."
 - 69. The specific acts by Defendant are in or affecting commerce.
- 70. The specific acts by Defendant are unfair and deceptive, as defined by the UDTPA.
- 71. Plaintiff has been subjected to pecuniary and non-pecuniary injuries resulting from Defendant's unfair and deceptive conduct.
- 72. Plaintiff and others similarly situated are entitled to recover treble damages, attorney's fees and costs if allowed by the discretion of the

Court pursuant to Chapter 75 of the North Carolina General Statutes.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and all others similarly situated pray the Court for judgment as set forth below:

- 1. Certifying this action as a class action as provided by Rule 23 of the Rules of Civil Procedure, appointing Plaintiff as class representative, and appointing the undersigned as class counsel;
- 2. Finding Defendant violated the FDCPA;
- 3. Finding Defendant violated the NCDCA;
- 4. Adjudging Defendant liable under the causes of actions asserted above, and awarding Plaintiff and the members of the NC Class and FDCPA Sub-Class (collectively, "Class Members") actual and statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1692k and N.C.G.S § 75-56;
- 5. Awarding Plaintiff and Class Members their reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to the FDCPA and NCDCA;
- 6. That the compensatory damages of Plaintiff and the Class

 Members be trebled by the Court pursuant to Chapter 75 of the

 North Carolina General Statutes;
- 7. For punitive damages to the extent allowed by law;
- 8. The costs of this action be taxed against Defendant;

- 9. For a trial by jury on all issues so triable;
- 10. Awarding pre and post judgment interest as allowed by law; and
- 11. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted, this day of July, 2021

MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, PLLC

Scott C. Harris

M.C. Bar No.: 35328

Patrick Wallace

N.C. Bar No.: 48138

900 W. Morgan Street

Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

Telephone: (919) 600-5000 Facsimile: (919) 600-5035

sharris@milberg.com pwallace@milberg.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the putative Classes